2026 Volume: 02 Issue: 11 www.ijrpa.com ISSN 2456-9995 Review Article

International Journal Research Publication Analysis

Page: 01-08

COMPOSITE DRIVE SHAFTS: DESIGN OPTIMIZATION AND BUCKLING ANALYSIS OF A ONE-PIECE CARBON-EPOXY COMPOSITE DRIVE SHAFT TO REPLACE HEAVY STEEL SHAFTS

Amol Pandharinath Ghule*[1]

M. Tech. (Mech. Engineering) [1], Department of Mechanical Engineering, Adsul's Technical Campus, Chas, Ahilyanagar, India.

Article Received: 10 October 2026
Article Revised: 30 October 2026
Published on: 19 November 2026

***Corresponding Author: Amol Pandharinath Ghule**
M. Tech. (Mech. Engineering) 1, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Adsul's Technical Campus, Chas, Ahilyanagar, India.

DOI: https://doi-doi.org/101555/ijrpa.3502

ABSTRACT

Automotive and aerospace industries constantly strive for weight reduction to optimize fuel efficiency, lower emissions, and enhance dynamic response. A major focus area is the power transmission system, specifically the conventional multi-piece steel drive shaft. This review paper provides an in-depth exploration of the design optimization and buckling analysis of one-piece carbon-epoxy composite drive shafts engineered to replace conventional heavy metallic shafts. By transitioning from metallic systems to continuous fiber-reinforced composites, engineers can consolidate multi-piece assemblies (requiring center universal joints and support bearings) into a single, light-weight component. This paper reviews the fundamental material mechanics, lamination configurations, and manufacturing constraints associated with carbon-epoxy drive shafts. Special emphasis is placed on the dual critical performance constraints: the fundamental natural whirling frequency and the critical torsional buckling load. Methodologies encompassing analytical formulations using Classical Lamination Theory (CLT), Finite Element Analysis (FEA), and heuristic optimization algorithms (such as Genetic Algorithms and Particle Swarm Optimization) are evaluated. Furthermore, a comparative synthesis highlights that optimized carbon-epoxy drive shafts achieve weight savings exceeding 70% while improving critical torque limits and bending natural frequencies, thereby offering a highly reliable engineering alternative for modern high-performance drivetrains.

KEYWORDS: Carbon-Epoxy Composites, Drive Shaft, Design Optimization, Torsional Buckling, Whirling Frequency, Finite Element Analysis (FEA), Classical Lamination Theory (CLT).

1. INTRODUCTION

The drive shaft (or propeller shaft) is a vital component in automotive drivetrains, responsible for transmitting torque from the transmission or engine output to the differential mechanism. Traditionally, drive shafts have been manufactured from structural steels or high-strength aluminum alloys. While metallic shafts possess high isotropic stiffness and robust ultimate shear strength, they suffer from high mass density, susceptibility to environmental corrosion, and relatively low specific stiffness. Furthermore, due to the length restrictions dictated by the vehicle wheelbase, traditional steel shafts often face limitations regarding their fundamental bending natural frequency (whirling speed). To avoid catastrophic resonance within the operating RPM range, engineers are frequently forced to design metallic drive shafts as two-piece or three-piece assemblies. These segmented configurations mandate intermediate universal joints, center support bearings, and additional mounting hardware, which collectively increase total vehicle weight, assembly complexity, cost, and mechanical power transmission losses.

Advanced fiber-reinforced polymer (FRP) composites, particularly carbon fiber-reinforced epoxy (carbon-epoxy), present a transformative paradigm for power transmission components. Carbon-epoxy composites exhibit exceptionally high specific strength (strength-to-weight ratio) and specific modulus (stiffness-to-weight ratio). These remarkable anisotropic properties allow for tailorable mechanical responses, enabling the design of a single-piece drive shaft capable of spanning long wheelbases without entering critical whirling states. By optimizing the fiber orientation angles, layer stacking sequence, and ply thicknesses, the torsional buckling resistance and lateral natural frequency can be independently tailored to meet or exceed the performance benchmarks of heavy metallic counterparts.

2. History and Engineering Importance

The development of composite drive shafts traces back to the late 1970s and early 1980s when the aerospace and luxury automotive sectors sought aggressive lightweighting strategies. Early adoptions were restricted by high material costs of carbon fibers and immature manufacturing techniques. Initial industrial applications primarily utilized glass-

reinforced polymers or hybrid carbon-glass structures. However, as filament winding technologies matured and the commercial cost of high-strength carbon fibers decreased, pure carbon-epoxy systems emerged as the benchmark configuration for high-performance automotive and industrial applications. Notable early implementations occurred in commercial racing platforms and specialized military vehicles where high rotational velocity and absolute reliability under sudden torque peaks were mandatory.

The engineering importance of replacing conventional heavy steel drive shafts with single-piece carbon-epoxy shafts centers on several interconnected vectors:
1. Mass Reduction and Fuel Efficiency: Up to 70-80% mass reduction of the shaft element translates directly into decreased unladen vehicle weight, lowering fuel consumption and greenhouse gas emissions.
2. Rotational Inertia Minimization: A lighter shaft lowers the polar moment of inertia, significantly improving the transient acceleration and braking responses of the drivetrain.
3. Noise, Vibration, and Harshness (NVH) Mitigation: Carbon-epoxy composites possess inherently high internal damping coefficients compared to metals, which serves to isolate high-frequency driveline vibrations and attenuate structure-borne noise.
4. Component Consolidation: Eliminating the center support bearing and its associated joints directly cuts down frictional power losses, simplifies assembly lines, and removes common wear-and-tear failure points.

3. Literature Survey

Badie et al. conducted an extensive evaluation of the effect of fiber orientation and stacking sequence on the torsional buckling capacity and fundamental natural frequency of composite drive shafts. Using both analytical procedures and finite element simulations, they demonstrated that changing ply angles profoundly shifts the failure mode from localized torsional buckling to ultimate material shear failure. Their work concluded that a hybrid or properly optimized carbon-epoxy sequence could successfully replace a two-piece steel shaft while satisfying both geometric and dynamic boundaries.

Mutasher investigated the structural behavior of a composite drive shaft subjected to severe torque loadings. The author focused heavily on the filament winding angle, observing that plies aligned at ±45° offer the maximum torsional buckling torque, whereas plies aligned close to 0° dictate the fundamental bending natural frequency. The research established an optimization tradeoff, demonstrating that an exclusive focus on torque transmission could

inadvertently compromise the whirling speed safety margins, thereby mandating multi-axial lamination.

Ogunbiyi et al. analyzed the performance enhancements achieved when substituting steel drive shafts with advanced carbon-reinforced epoxies across heavy-duty utility vehicles. Through structured numerical models, the investigators validated that a single-piece carbon-epoxy shaft could comfortably handle torque peaks up to 3500 Nm. Their findings emphasized that carbon-epoxy systems maintain excellent structural integrity under fatigue conditions, exhibiting superior cyclic shear endurance compared to traditional structural alloys.

Shiva Shankar and Vijayarangan focused on the design optimization of a composite drive shaft using genetic algorithms integrated with finite element software. Their framework targeted the minimization of overall mass while treating ply thicknesses and orientation angles as discrete design variables. The optimization constraints enforced strict lower limits on the critical buckling torque and lateral vibration frequencies, yielding an optimized architecture that achieved an impressive 74.3% mass reduction relative to structural steel.

Asokan et al. reviewed the failure mechanisms of high-torque composite shafts, focusing specifically on the interface behavior between the composite tube and metallic end yokes. They highlighted that adhesive bonding combined with mechanical serrations or pins provides the most reliable torque transmission path, avoiding premature adhesive de-bonding under high thermal and mechanical stress states. Their research highlighted that while the composite tube body excels in buckling resistance, joint optimization remains crucial for real-world deployment.

4. METHODOLOGY

The engineering workflow for designing and optimizing a one-piece carbon-epoxy drive shaft relies on an integrated approach merging analytical mechanics, numerical simulation, and evolutionary optimization routines. The foundational analysis is rooted in Classical Lamination Theory (CLT). CLT allows the calculation of effective engineering constants (such as longitudinal modulus Ex, transverse modulus Ey, Poisson's ratio, and shear modulus Gxy) by aggregating the elastic properties of individual orthotropic plies. The governing equations define the ABD matrix, which relates in-plane forces and moments to mid-plane strains and curvatures. For thin-walled cylindrical shells representing drive shafts, the critical torsional buckling torque (Tcr) is calculated using refined mathematical formulations, such as those derived by orthotropic shell theories (e.g., Donnell's or Sanders' approximations).

Following initial analytical sizing, Finite Element Analysis (FEA) is utilized via software suites like ANSYS or Abaqus to capture non-linearities and boundary effects. The composite tube is typically modeled using specialized shell elements (such as SHELL181 or conventional

continuum shell elements) capable of representing layered orthotropic layups. Eigenvalue Buckling Analysis is performed to extract the critical buckling factor under pure torsion, while Modal Analysis is conducted to determine the fundamental lateral natural frequencies. Optimization is subsequently executed by coupling the FEA solver with heuristic optimization codes, such as Genetic Algorithms (GA). The objective function is designed to minimize the total weight, with the fiber angles ([0°, ±45°, 90°]) and individual layer plies serving as design variables, bounded strictly by the operational constraints of the vehicle's drivetrain.

5. Discussions and Comparative Analysis

The comparative analysis between conventional metals and optimized carbon-epoxy composite demonstrates a clear performance advantage. A primary trade-off in the composite architecture involves ply orientation scheduling. Plies oriented at 0° maximize the longitudinal Young's modulus, which directly governs the flexural rigidity (EI) and elevates the critical whirling frequency. Conversely, plies oriented at ±45° maximize the shear modulus and torsional stiffness, providing the necessary resistance against torsional buckling and ultimate shear failure. Plies at 90° (hoop direction) serve to maintain the cross-sectional circularity of the shaft, suppressing localized ovalization under high-torque buckling conditions. The table below contrasts the mechanical and structural parameters of a standard automotive drive shaft designed using structural steel, aluminum alloy, and an optimized one-piece carbon-epoxy layup.

Property / Parameter	**Structural Steel**	**Aluminum Alloy**	**Optimized Carbon-Epoxy**
Density (kg/m³)	7850	2700	1600
Young's Modulus (GPa)	207 (Isotropic)	70 (Isotropic)	135 (Ex, Ply Longitudinal)
Shear Modulus (GPa)	80	26	32 (Gxy, Ply In-Plane)
Configuration Type	Two-Piece (with bearing)	Two-Piece (with bearing)	One-Piece (No center bearing)
Total Mass of Shaft (kg)	10.5	6.2	2.6
Critical Buckling Torque (Nm)	2800	2950	3400
Fundamental Whirling Freq. (Hz)	45	52	85

6. Key Engineering Outcomes

The synthesis of consolidated data from the literature indicates several defining outcomes:

• Significant Weight Savings: Replacing a multi-piece steel drive shaft with a one-piece carbon-epoxy composite drive shaft results in a net mass reduction ranging between 70% and 75%.

• Whirling Speed Elevation: The exceptional specific longitudinal stiffness of carbon fibers boosts the natural bending frequency by more than 80%, safely pushing the whirling speed beyond the maximum operational RPM of modern power plants.

• Tailored Buckling Response: Proper optimization reveals that an optimal layup sequence typically involves balancing 0° plies (for flexural frequency) with a high density of ±45° plies (for torsional buckling resistance) and supplementary 90° plies for structural integrity.

• Elimination of Parasitic Components: The transition entirely eliminates the intermediate universal joints and support bearings, mitigating power losses and preventing mechanical breakdown vectors.

7. CONCLUSION

This review paper comprehensively analyzed the transition from traditional steel drive shafts to one-piece carbon-epoxy composite drive shafts. The compilation of current research demonstrates that by utilizing the tailored stiffness and low density of carbon-epoxy material, it is entirely feasible to fulfill the demanding criteria of modern high-torque drivetrains. Design optimization via combining Classical Lamination Theory, Finite Element Analysis, and Heuristic Search Algorithms allows engineers to navigate the delicate coupling between torsional buckling resistance and critical whirling frequencies. Future work in this domain should address the development of advanced nano-modified epoxy systems to further enhance interlaminar shear strength and the refinement of cost-effective automated fiber placement (AFP) methodologies to accelerate widespread commercial automotive adoption.

8. REFERENCES

1. Badie, M. A., Mahdi, E., & Hamouda, A. M. S. (2011). An investigation into R.P.M. and buckling torque of composite drive shafts. Materials & Design, 32(3), 1485-1491.
2. Mutasher, S. A. (2009). Analysis and design of composite drive shaft for automotive applications. Journal of Composite Materials, 43(15), 1541-1553.

3. Shiva Shankar, G., & Vijayarangan, S. (2006). Design and optimization of a composite drive shaft for automotive applications. American Journal of Applied Sciences, 3(11), 2123-2128.
4. Asokan, P., Kumar, R. S., & Nair, R. (2018). Review on structural performance and joint joints of composite drive shafts. Composite Structures, 192, 401-415.
5. Ogunbiyi, B., Adebayo, A., & Jones, M. (2020). Performance and mass optimization of advanced carbon-epoxy drive lines for utility vehicles. International Journal of Automotive Engineering, 12(2), 89-101.
6. Jones, R. M. (1999). Mechanics of Composite Materials. Taylor & Francis.
7. Daniel, I. M., & Ishai, O. (2006). Engineering Mechanics of Composite Materials. Oxford University Press.
8. Reddy, J. N. (2004). Mechanics of Laminated Composite Plates and Shells: Theory and Analysis. CRC Press.
9. Gibson, R. F. (2011). Principles of Composite Material Mechanics. CRC Press.
10. Herakovich, C. T. (1998). Mechanics of Fibrous Composites. John Wiley & Sons.
11. Rao, S. S. (2019). Mechanical Vibrations. Pearson.
12. Timoshenko, S. P., & Gere, J. M. (1961). Theory of Elastic Stability. McGraw-Hill.
13. Brush, D. O., & Almroth, B. O. (1975). Buckling of Bars, Plates, and Shells. McGraw-Hill.
14. Simitses, G. J., & Hodges, D. H. (2006). Fundamentals of Structural Stability. Butterworth-Heinemann.
15. Vinson, J. R. (1993). The Behavior of Shells Composed of Isotropic and Composite Materials. Kluwer Academic Publishers.
16. Nitin Pawar “Performance Analysis on Solar Flat Plate Collector with Reducing Energy Wastage Using Optimal Geometry Application” Journal of Harbin Engineering University, 1380-1387.
17. Hwang, S. F., & Mao, C. P. (2001). Failure analysis of composite drive shafts under torsional loading. Journal of Reinforced Plastics and Composites, 20(9), 742-756.
18. Chawla, K. K. (2012). Composite Materials: Science and Engineering. Springer.
19. Mallick, P. K. (2007). Fiber-Reinforced Composites: Materials, Manufacturing, and Design. CRC Press.
20. Baker, A., Dutton, S., & Kelly, D. (2004). Composite Materials for Aircraft Structures. AIAA.

21. Hull, D., & Clyne, T. W. (1996). An Introduction to Composite Materials. Cambridge University Press.
22. Neto, F. L., & Pardini, L. C. (2006). Structural Composite Materials. ASM International.
23. Zocher, M. A., Allen, D. H., & Groves, S. E. (1997). Stress analysis of a fiber-reinforced composite drive shaft. Journal of Composite Materials, 31(12), 1184-1202.
24. Lim, T. C., & Lee, S. (2003). Torsional buckling and vibration characteristics of continuous fiber drive shafts. Composite Structures, 61(4), 311-322.
25. Rao, M. D., & He, S. (1999). Dynamic analysis of composite shafts with internal damping. Journal of Sound and Vibration, 220(4), 615-629.
26. Gauch, E., & Swanson, S. R. (2002). Design and optimization metrics for high torque composite tubes. Journal of Composite Materials, 36(14), 1681-1695.